Critical discourse analysis: A review of the views of Ernesto Laclau, Chantal Mouffe and Norman Fairclough

Korosh Almasi Moghaddam[1]

1. Political science expert, Islamic Azad University, Karaj branch, Iran

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	This article explores the complex relationship between intellectual property (IP) and financial growth, explaining how strong IP laws promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and sustainable development. It explores how intellectual property rights facilitate technology transfer, encourage R&D investments, and support the growth of knowledge-driven economies by referencing recent studies and policy initiatives. It also addresses important IP disputes and challenges, such as the availability of essential pharmaceuticals, the spread of technology, and the fine line that must be drawn between protecting the public interest and promoting innovation. This study emphasizes the critical role that intellectual property plays in determining the direction of global economic growth and prosperity from a multidisciplinary standpoint. To better understand the intricate connection between intellectual property (IP) and the development of the economy, this article looks at how strong IP laws can promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and long-term growth. It examines how intellectual property rights encourage investment in R&D, ease technology transfer, and promote the establishment of knowledge-based economies, drawing on recent studies and legislative initiatives. It also discusses important IP-related issues and debates, including as the distribution of technology, the difficulty of obtaining necessary medications, and how to strike a balance between fostering innovation and protecting the interests of the general public. This study emphasizes the relevance of intellectual property in determining the course of future global prosperity and economic growth through a multidisciplinary perspective.

Introduction

Critical discourse analysis (CDA) is one of the prominent analytical approaches in the social sciences and humanities that focuses on examining how discourses affect power structures, ideologies, and identities. This article examines this issue by emphasizing the views of Ernesto Laclou, Chantal Mouffe and Norman Fairclough. In this regard, first, we will discuss the theoretical foundations of critical discourse analysis and then we will discuss in detail the views of each of these three great theorists .

First part: Theoretical foundations of critical discourse analysis
1.   The concept of discourse
Discourse is defined as a set of complex semantic structures that are formed and reproduced through language, power, and ideology. Discourses are not only a reflection of reality but also its creator, and for this reason, their study and analysis are of great importance. From CDA's perspective, discourses can help shape social realities and play a role in reinforcing or challenging power structures. According to Laclau and Mouffe, discourses act as power mechanisms and establish hegemony through the creation and strengthening of common meaning among social groups. They believe that politics is always an arena of struggle for meaning and hegemony. Laclau, E., & Mouffe, C. 1985) and these struggles are reflected in discourses, but Norman Fairclough, one of the pioneers of critical discourse analysis, emphasizes that language and discourses are not only 2. The importance of critical discourse analysis

CDA believes that discourses have ideological dimensions and can reinforce or challenge power relations. This approach examines social inequalities and political changes through language analysis. The main goal of CDA is to reveal hidden inequalities in language and discourse and criticize them. This analysis allows us to understand how language and discourses serve certain interests and how they can lead to social change.

Second part: the views of Ernesto Laclou and Chantal Mouffe
1. Hegemony and socialist strategy
In the book "Hegemony and Socialist Strategy", Laclau and Mouffe propose a concept of discourse that goes beyond language and includes social and political actions. Laclau, E., & Mouffe, C. (1985)) They believe that discourses can create hegemonies and that these hegemonies are built on unstable and changeable coalitions.
1.1. Discourse and hegemony
Laclou and Mouffe believe that hegemonies are formed through discourses and discourses can challenge existing hegemonies. Hegemonies are a combination of different discourse elements that have become a stable and dominant semantic structure. These hegemonies are created and reproduced by fixing certain meanings through discourses.

1.2 Floating slabs and central slabs
Laclou and Mouffe introduce the concept of "floating signs", which refers to signs that have variable meanings and can be used in different discourse contexts. In contrast, "central signs" are signs that have more fixed meanings and are more central in a particular discourse. (Laclau, E., & Mouffe, C. 1985) This distinction helps to understand how discourses change and transform and shows how discourses can give new meanings to floating signifiers and turn them into central signifiers .

2. Political identities and discourse
Laclou and Mouffe emphasize the importance of political identities in discourse analysis. They believe that identities are created in the process of discourses and these identities can lead to social and political changes.

2.1Identity and difference
In the theories of Laclau and Mouffe, identities are defined based on differences. Each identity is

formed in confrontation with another, and these confrontations can lead to the formation of new discourses. For example, ethnic, gender, and class identities are all constructed and redefined within discourses. This identity process means the mechanisms through which identities are created and lead to social changes.

2.2 Identity coalitions

Laclou and Mouffe believe that identity coalitions can lead to hegemonic changes. These coalitions are formed based on discourse commonalities and can unite different social forces for common goals. These discursive alliances can lead to profound changes in social and political structures and create new hegemonies.

The third part: Norman Fairclough's theory
1. Fairclough's analytical trilogy

In his critical discourse analysis, Fairclough suggests three levels of analysis (Fairclough, 1992):

description (text analysis)
Interpretation (analysis of discourse processes)
Explanation (analysis of social relations)

1.1description (text analysis)

At this level, Fairclough analyzes the linguistic details of a text. This includes reviewing vocabulary, grammar, and text structures. The aim is to show how these linguistic elements contribute to the creation of meaning and how they can strengthen or weaken certain ideological meanings.

1.2 Interpretation (analysis of discourse processes)

At this level, Fairclough examines the discourse processes that place the text in a specific context. This includes examining how texts are produced, distributed and consumed. The aim is to show how text is shaped and interpreted by larger discourses and how these processes can help to create and stabilize particular meanings.

1.3 Explanation (analysis of social relations)

At this level, Fairclough analyzes the social relations that lie behind discourses. This includes examining power structures, ideologies and social inequalities. The aim is to show how discourses contribute to the reproduction or challenge of these structures and how they can lead to social and political change.

2. Analysis of the relationship between discourse and power

Fairclough emphasizes the importance of examining how power is produced and reproduced through discourse. He believes that discourses can legitimize social inequalities or challenge them.

2.1Discourse and Ideology

Fairclough believes that discourses play an important role in the reproduction of ideologies. He believes that ideologies are manifested in discourses and are transmitted to society through them. For example, media discourses can promote certain ideologies and reinforce social inequalities.

2.2 Discourse and Social Change

Fairclough also emphasizes the role of discourses in social change. He believes that discourses can lead to social and political changes. For example, critical discourses can challenge social inequalities and accelerate social change.

The fourth part: comparing and combining ideas
1. Common points

All three theorists emphasize the role of discourse in power and hegemony structures. They believe that discourses can contribute to social and political changes. Specifically, all three approaches emphasize the importance of ideologies and identities in discourse analysis.

1.1Ideology and Discourse

Laclau, Mouffe and Fairclough believe that discourses play an important role in reproducing and strengthening ideologies. They believe that ideologies are manifested in discourses and are transmitted to society through them. This point of view helps to understand how ideologies are formed and reproduced in the context of discourses.

1.2 Identity and Discourse

Social and political identities are also a common theme in the ideas of these three thinkers. They believe that identities are constructed and redefined in the discourse process and these identities can lead to social and political changes. Analyzing these identities can help to better understand the processes of social and political change.

2. Differences

The main difference between Laclau Mouffe and Fairclough is in their approach to analysis. Laclau and Mouffe focus more on the ideological and political dimensions of discourse, while Fairclough emphasizes a more detailed analysis of language and linguistic structures.

2.1 Political approach versus linguistic approach

Laclou and Mouffe have a more political approach and analyze more how hegemonies and political coalitions are formed. In contrast, Fairclough has a more linguistic approach and analyzes linguistic details and discourse processes. This difference in approaches helps to better understand the role of discourses in social and political structures.

2.2 Levels of analysis

Fairclough proposes three levels of analysis (description, interpretation, and explanation), each of which examines different aspects of discourse. Laclou and Mouffe focus more on discourse analysis as a whole and pay less attention to different levels of analysis. These differences can lead to significant differences in the results of the analyses.

Part V: Practical applications of critical discourse analysis

1. Analysis of political discourse

CDA analyzes how politicians use discourses to gain and maintain power. For example, examining political speeches and how language is used to create hegemony.

1.1 Political speeches

Analyzing political speeches can show how politicians use language to shape ideologies and gain support. For example, the use of specific vocabulary, linguistic structures, and rhetorical strategies can help create new hegemonies and reinforce existing hegemonies.

1.2 Election campaigns

Electoral campaigns are another example of the use of CDA in the analysis of political discourse. Campaign analysis can show how politicians use different discourses to attract voters and promote their political agendas.

2. Media analysis

Media plays an important role in shaping public discourses. CDA can examine how social and political issues are represented in the media and reveal the inequalities hidden in these representations.

2.1 News analysis

News analysis can show how the media represent social and political issues and how these representations can reinforce or challenge certain ideologies. For example, analyzing the language used in news reports can reveal how the media helps to reproduce or challenge social inequalities.

2.2 Advertising analysis

Advertising analysis is another application of CDA in media analysis. Advertisements can play an important role in shaping identities and ideologies, and discourse analysis can show how

advertisements help reproduce or challenge these identities and ideologies.

The sixth part: Criticism and challenges
1 .Theoretical reviews

Some critics believe that critical discourse analysis focuses too much on language and ignores the non-linguistic aspects of power relations. Also, some researchers have pointed out the ambiguity in the key concepts of CDA.

1.1 .Focus on language

One of the main criticisms of CDA is its heavy focus on language. Critics believe that this focus can lead to neglecting the non-linguistic aspects of power relations. For example, institutions and social structures that help reproduce inequalities can be as important as language (Wodak, R., & Meyer, M 2009).

1.2 .Conceptual ambiguity

Some key concepts in CDA, such as hegemony, ideology, and power, can be ambiguous and require more precise definitions. This ambiguity can lead to problems in the interpretation and application of CDA.

2 .Practical challenges

Performing critical discourse analysis requires a lot of precision and time. Also, analysts may face challenges regarding multiple interpretations and personal biases.

2.1 .Multiple interpretations

One of the main challenges in CDA is the possibility of multiple interpretations of the same text. This can lead to significant differences in the results of the analyzes and affect the validity of the results.

2.2 .Personal prejudices

Analysts may be unconsciously influenced by their personal biases and this can negatively affect the results of the analyses. To avoid this problem, analysts should try to analyze the discourse accurately and without prejudice. Wodak, R., & Meyer, M 2009.)

Conclusion

Critical discourse analysis is a powerful tool for understanding and analyzing social and political structures. The theories of Ernesto Laclau, Chantal Mouffe and Norman Fairclough each shed light on important aspects of this approach and can help us better understand how discourses are formed and changed. However, the successful implementation of CDA requires attention to the criticisms and challenges raised and efforts to improve the methods and concepts used. Considering the changeable and fluid nature of discourse, its correct application requires a detailed understanding of theoretical frameworks and different types of discourse theories, in this article has tried to provide the basics of critical discourse analysis by referring to the most important intellectual trends in this field.

References
1. Laclau, E., & Mouffe, C. (1985). Hegemony and Socialist Strategy: Towards a Radical Democratic Politics. Verso.
2. Fairclough, N. (1995). Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language. Longman.
3. Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (2009). Methods of Critical Discourse Analysis. Sage.